

UKorg.

03 February 2010

THE CAMPAIGN TRAIL BEGINS HERE:

“Plans are nothing, planning is everything”; US General, President; Eisenhower. *(It is not what is said, but what is done that counts!)*

Prologue:

The **PDP**'s most profound contribution to restoring democracy, and only recently revealed to the world, was the PDP's, *successful planning and execution*, that led it to orchestrating a move, using skills of diplomacy no other opposition member has conquered, by gaining the support from no less than *76 countries*, to recognise a government in exile, with Suu Kyi as Prime Minister. This would have meant that the opposition to the regime would have had all diplomatic courtesies awarded to them, giving tremendous politic leverage, as well as financial and material assistance. This move could have ended the regimes term had it not been sabotaged by Sein Win, of the NCGUB, simply because he was not deemed important enough to warrant a post in high office. Undeterred, the

PDP, as a political party, still moved forward, it never stands still, waiting for no-one, dependant of no-one, and *its Burma's dependency on the PDP, that drives its members on relentlessly*. The PDP, ploughs through all the political debris left by others that have either fallen by the wayside, become bogged down without leadership, or have no political agenda other than to sit on a high chair, which they like to believe is a throne, looking down upon the people they regard, as their slaves.

18th. May 2007; Oxford University, UK: A debate took place; *'Is the internet a force for democracy'?*

In support, speakers; Jimmy Wales, founder of Wikipedia. Burma). political science (University of Toronto) Canada.

Bo Aung Din, Chairman (PDP Professor Ron Deibert,

Those three much respected intellectuals, were defeated in the debate, but time and reality, has placed them way ahead with their argument; that the internet is indeed, a force for democracy and the; **'Parliamentary Democracy Party' (PDP Burma)**, is the prime example!

Whether it's politicians in what they like to call; the free world, or tyrannical dictators, they all believe that the science of politics is too complicated for the countries general population, and was created solely as a duelling stick between themselves, it is considered therefore; better to win the people over with simplicity, and celebrity has been the chosen tool, introducing iconic indoctrination in place of all logic and reality and of course, truth. On the otherside of the coin, make them fear for their lives. Both methods, replace education that would otherwise, give the people an opportunity to choose their leaders with the knowledge that they can be neither fooled, nor deceived, that route however, would provide knowledge, and

knowledge is power, precisely what the select few would prefer to keep to themselves! *Britain's former PM, Tony Blair, believed that by associating and socialising, with celebrity, bestowing honours upon them, that the people of Britain, whom many believe, that he cast nothing but contempt upon, would look upon him as one of the 'lads' and hopefully, ignore both his political and personal agenda. However, thanks to the internet and its information highway, people only require a sense of curiosity and initiative, to find out facts and figures, as they surely did, and are continuing to do so.*

Institutions around the world, are seeking ways and means to stay at the top, and to retain power over the people, and keep their ill-gotten gains, aware that all their dastardly deeds are creeping closer to revelation!

The political campaign that uses; *celebrity in place of intellect and prowess*, to simply achieve, *selfish ambition*, can succeed only, when all other opposition is suffocated from publicity. The *internet* however, gives exposure to the opposition. **The PDP (BURMA)** tore away the blindfold applied to the eyes of the world and those inside Burma, some years back, revealing how the NLD and the NCGUB had been given all the limelight, in the hope it would desecrate all opposition, allowing them, a free ride to power on their terms, and the terms of their masters!

At the same time, the military regime had rewritten the history books, substituting truthful facts relevant to Burma's present dilemma, with fabrication. However, they did not anticipate the intellect and political prowess, of; the PDP Chairman, Bo Aung Din and his party chiefs, whose desire to free their country at any cost, would drive them forward with a dedication witnessed only, by selfless acts of endurance, in a campaign for freedom on behalf of the people, using; *the science of politics, fuelled by their powers of intellect and unequalled leadership skills, as their tool, and the internet as their platform!*

Today, all it requires is too simply type in PDP, or Burma PDP or UKorgBurma, just a few examples, to realise the power of information that had been otherwise cloaked by those whom feared the PDP and its persuasive political policies to restore democracy. The internet has no preferences, no prejudices, it's an open book for all to read and learn, for those who want to know the truth, even if governments wish to hinder access, there is always a way in!

Beware of those who seek power to rule over. Find comfort from those whom request power, to rule on behalf of: Ego is an enemy of the people; it replaces loyalty with greed and treachery, and cannot be trusted. The person that *supports* a political party specifically to seek; *self importance, favour and reward*, is destined to experience outrage from the people eventually, and too further witness their party's failure when inadequacies and dirty tricks are revealed, though generally, not before the people have felt the consequences of poor leadership!

Whereas, the person that supports a political party because that party works only for the interests of the people, and all its doors are open to scrutiny, is a person that places his/her country above all else.

Burma, having experienced democracy with U Nu as Prime Minister, for the first time in its history, and then only for a short period of time, though it was time enough to show what democracy can achieve, when led by a person with the rare insight to requirements when Burma became the most successful economy in SEA, today however, it is thought, quite wrongly we say, that Burma does not have a choice of political parties, more so, that which has a proven track record of its abilities to run a country under the principles of democracy, whereas; it could be said, that Burma does indeed have a history of political parties, and the successes and failures thereof?

First in order of seniority; The '**Parliamentary Democracy Party**' (PDP Burma)

First: The family tree of the **PDP** is firmly rooted to General Aung San; after his death, his comrade in arms, and political compatriot, U Nu, took over the responsibility of leading Burma into independence from Britain. Over a period of years as Prime Minister, U Nu and his policies, took Burma to the top of the international economy table, with education second to none, public services improving every day that passed, it was said; that the people of Burma, were eating better than those in their formal colonial masters country, and so it was. It does not matter, what the critics may come up with, before, during or after U Nu, the historical fact is; that under U Nu, Burma Blossomed, it was not his policies that brought Burma to its knees, but rather the selfish ambitions of others, followed later, by lies and deceit, and the wanton destruction of historical facts, by the regime!

The **PDP (Burma)** as its known today was formed during 1970, when U Nu, still fighting to restore democracy to Burma, restructured his old party to engage Ne Win on a new front. Prominent figures from U Nu's government, from the period in office, stood alongside him, bringing with them all the skills, both political and militarily, to the PDP.

With both military and political prowess, drawn from war time activities, and the time in office after WW2, clustered together with the wisdom learned from the dark days of Ne Wins rule, has been passed down to cadres through the decades since, one of which, today's present Chairman of the PDP, Bo Aung Din, was part. He has made good use of the vast knowledge acquired during those years serving directly under U Nu, and other prominent figures of U Nu' government, in conjunction with his own experiences serving as an active combatant against the regime and the immense hardship and pain that was part and parcel of it. Clubbed together, with his mature intellect, was an invaluable combination alongside loyal party members, many of whom share the same characteristics and history as Bo Aung Din; making the PDP what is today; the most versatile, energetic, charismatic and the most proficient political entity in Burma's pro-democracy arena, indeed, the

NLD and the NCGUB are quite fearful of losing face, what is left of it, to the PDP, across the debating table, and have therefore, backed down when ever it was requested of them!

The philosophy of the

PDP:

serve the people, not to enslave them.
there to listen to the people, not dictate to them.
profit from it.

It is there to

It is

It is there to restore democracy, not to

All in all, the PDP have a proud history in moving forward against adversity directed at it, not only from the regime, but from others within the pro-democracy arena also, though attitudes have changed dramatically now that many, see the PDP's way to restoring democracy as a reality and not simply a dream, brought about by the impressive diplomatic skills of Bo Aung Din, Chairman; Parliamentary Democracy Party (PDP Burma).

Learn more: Those with access to the internet; research, ask questions, seek out answers, and do not wait for them to be volunteered, which would allow for cover ups.

[Http://UKorgBurma.googlepages.com](http://UKorgBurma.googlepages.com),

www.pdpburma.net

Second in order of seniority: The '**National league for Democracy**' (NLD)

The NLD's claim to fame is precisely that; '*fame*', *celebrity fame*, not the same unfortunately, as fame brought about by acts of great wisdom, or outstanding physical contributions, as in nation building. Suu Kyi is to be highly commended for her resolute stance, and what must be painful days being away from her family, needless to mention the tragic loss of her husband, whose side she could not be at, during the time of his death. In reality, this mirrors in part, the horrors, that millions of people in Burma have been forced to bear, for decades. Perhaps, she could have been a major player in Burma's rebuilding, indeed, could still be so, in one form or another, but her incarceration, which is partly voluntary, and her passive policy, has kept the political struggle in Burma, in a state of; '*dormant*', a legacy no doubt that her father, General Aung San, would query with disgruntled opposition after considering the years of war and sacrifice made by his followers, for what, would be his question?

The NLD, formed September 1988, it became a credible political party, only after Suu Kyi's affiliation to it, and in turn, her genetic link to Aung San, though, that's where all resemblance ends, but it was enough to promote the NLD. She brought to the NLD, a passive policy, giving the generals all the time necessary, to become stronger. Had general Aung San lived, he would have taken the same route as U Nu, and fought just as hard to restore democracy. The NLD's history is very much the opposite. Since its formation in 1988, and its election victory in 1990, even though Suu Kyi was not allowed to participate in it, the party has made no attempts to resolve the issue of democracy since. The NLD's, present day skeletal remains, and

are a clear signal that Suu Kyi's influence on policy bears no reward, and it is now common knowledge that many inside the home team hold grave doubts as to the future of the NLD under Suu Kyi's patronage? In truth, it's quite irrelevant as to where the policy blame may lay, because the NLD, as a representing institutional body, from day one, did not have the infrastructure to have allowed it to govern, least of all, to be expected to advance their election success. It would have collapse in the early days. After the election, the NLD, full of praise for itself, could not absorb failure, once it was realised, let alone attempt a counter move! Without a reserve initiative to counter attack, the NLD, was not in a position to take advantage of the shockwaves of defeat, which almost destroyed the regime. A well know saying passed down through the centuries; **"if planning for peace, prepare for war"**, The NLD, missed numerous opportunities to counter the regime since, but failed to meet the challenge on each occasion. The NCGUB also, has on a numerous occasions, mismanaged opportunities, strengthening the power base of the regime each time. **On no occasion, has the NLD, or the NCGUB, ever displayed, sufficient know-how, or the will, to organise justifiable opposition to the regime!** Some would suggest that the NLD will enter the 2010 election, because it has no other route to take other than total annihilation as a political entity, but to do so would betray every moral argument it has ever stood by during the years from 1990, and would prove only the fact; that as a political party, it had neither the will, prowess nor the courage, to take on the regime, and further retreat would instantly destroy any remaining loyalty to it. The SPDC is fully aware of this, and will welcome the NLD with open arms, then clamp them shut once within reach!

Plans are just dreams that never materialise, only to carry people into a world of fantasy, making them weak and easy to manipulate, on the other-hand, **planning**, is action that moves and motivates in the world of reality, and makes things happen!

Both the NLD and the NCGUB, only ever speak of their '**plans**'. Everything said by both groups, are either in the past-tense, or the present, the future is still a fantasy that will never materialise into the real world, because, they are both dependant on outside influences, and have neither, the will nor the skills to act under their own initiative, and the most important negative deficiency, is the willingness to listen to others of the same side, because they fear, that the ultimate power they consider as theirs, will be lost?

'Planning'; has been the; **'Parliamentary Democracy Party's** course for many years, each day bringing new advancements. It works for the people, not itself, giving the party every reason to strive for success!

UKorg.